ON A PROOF OF A CONJECTURE OF MARINO-VAFA ON HODGE INTEGRALS

CHIU-CHU MELISSA LIU, KEFENG LIU, AND JIAN ZHOU

ABSTRACT. We outline a proof of a remarkable formula for Hodge integrals conjectured by Mariño and Vafa [23] based on large N duality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ denote the Deligne-Mumford moduli stack of stable curves of genus g with n marked points. Let $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the universal curve, and let ω_π be the relative dualizing sheaf. The Hodge bundle

$$\mathbb{E} = \pi_* \omega_\pi$$

is a rank g vector bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ whose fiber of over $[C, x_1, \ldots, x_n] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is $H^0(C, \omega_C)$. Let $s_i : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}$ denote the section of π which corresponds to the i-th marked point, and let

$$\mathbb{L}_i = s_i^* \omega_\pi$$

be the line bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ whose fiber over $[C, x_1, \ldots, x_n] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is the cotangent line $T_{x_i}^* C$ at the i-th marked point x_i. A Hodge integral is an integral of the form

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{j_1} \cdots \psi_n^{j_n} \lambda_1^{k_1} \cdots \lambda_g^{k_g}$$

where $\psi_i = c_1(\mathbb{L}_i)$ is the first Chern class of $\mathbb{L}_i$, and $\lambda_j = c_j(\mathbb{E})$ is the j-th Chern class of the Hodge bundle.

Hodge integrals arise in the calculations of Gromov-Witten invariants by localization techniques [14, 7]. The explicit evaluation of Hodge integrals is a difficult problem. The Hodge integrals involving only ψ classes can be computed recursively by Witten's conjecture [26] proven by Kontsevich [13]. Algorithms of computing Hodge integrals are described in [2].

In [23], M. Mariño and C. Vafa obtained a closed formula for a generating function of certain open Gromov-Witten invariants, some of which has been reduced to Hodge integrals by localization techniques which are not fully clarified mathematically. This leads to a conjectural formula of Hodge integrals. To state this formula, we introduce some notation, following [28]. Let

$$\Lambda_g^\vee(u) = u^g - \lambda_1 u + \cdots + (-1)^g \lambda_g$$

be the Chern polynomial of $\mathbb{E}^\vee$, the dual of the Hodge bundle. For a partition μ given by

$$\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{l(\mu)} > 0,$$

let $|\mu| = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \mu_i$, and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{g,\mu}(\lambda;\tau) &= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}^{|\mu|+l(\mu)}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(\mu)|}[\tau(\tau+1)]^{l(\mu)-1} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \frac{\prod_{a=1}^{\mu_i-1}(\mu_i\tau+a)}{(\mu_i-1)!} \\
&\quad \cdot \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,l(\mu)}} \frac{\Lambda_g^\vee(1)\Lambda_g^\vee(-\tau-1)\Lambda_g^\vee(\tau)}{\prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}(1-\mu_i\psi_i)}, \\
\mathcal{C}_\mu(\lambda;\tau) &= \sum_{g\geq 0} \lambda^{2g-2+l(\mu)} \mathcal{C}_{g,\mu}(\lambda;\tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,l(\mu)}} \frac{\Lambda_0^\vee(1)\Lambda_0^\vee(-\tau-1)\Lambda_0^\vee(\tau)}{\prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}(1-\mu_i\psi_i)} = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,l(\mu)}} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}(1-\mu_i\psi_i)} = |\mu|^{l(\mu)-3}
$$

for $l(\mu) \geq 3$, and we use this expression to extend the definition to the case $l(\mu) < 3$.

Introduce formal variables $p = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n, \ldots)$, and define

$$
p_\mu = p_{\mu_1} \cdots p_{\mu_{l(\mu)}}
$$

for a partition $\mu = (\mu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{l(\mu)} > 0)$. Define generating functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}(\lambda;\tau;p) &= \sum_{|\mu|\geq 1} \mathcal{C}_\mu(\lambda;\tau)p_\mu, \\
\mathcal{C}(\lambda;\tau;p)^\bullet &= e^{\mathcal{C}(\lambda;\tau;p)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

As pointed out in [23], by comparing computations in [23] with computations in [12], one obtains a conjectural formula for $\mathcal{C}_\mu(\tau)$. This formula is explicitly written down in [28].

(1)

$$
\mathcal{C}(\lambda;\tau;p) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} \sum_{\mu} \left(\sum_{\cup_{i=1}^n \mu^i = \mu} \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{|\nu^i|=|\mu^i|} \frac{\chi_{\nu^i}(C(\mu^i))}{z_{\mu^i}} e^{\sqrt{-1}(\tau+\frac{1}{2})\kappa_{\nu^i}\lambda/2} V_{\nu^i}(\lambda) \right) p_\mu,
$$

(2)
$$
\mathcal{C}(\lambda;\tau;p)^\bullet = \sum_{|\mu|\geq 0} \left(\sum_{|\nu|=|\mu|} \frac{\chi_\nu(C(\mu))}{z_\mu} e^{\sqrt{-1}(\tau+\frac{1}{2})\kappa_\nu\lambda/2} V_\nu(\lambda) \right) p_\mu,
$$

where

(3)
$$
\begin{aligned}
V_\nu(\lambda) = \prod_{1\leq a<b\leq l(\nu)} \frac{\sin\left[(\nu_a-\nu_b+b-a)\lambda/2\right]}{\sin\left[(b-a)\lambda/2\right]} \\
\cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{l(\nu)} \prod_{v=1}^{\nu_i} 2\sin\left[(v-i+l(\nu))\lambda/2\right]}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We now explain the notation on the right-hand sides of (1) and (2). For a partition μ given by

$$
\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{l(\mu)} > 0,
$$

χ_μ denotes the character of the irreducible representation of S_d indexed by μ, where $d = |\mu| = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \mu_i$. The number κ_μ is defined by

$$
\kappa_\mu = |\mu| + \sum_i (\mu_i^2 - 2i\mu_i).
$$

For each positive integer i,

$$m_i(\mu) = |\{j : \mu_j = i\}|.$$

Denote by $C(\nu)$ the conjugacy class of S_d corresponding to the partition ν, and by $\chi_\mu(C(\nu))$ the value of the character χ_μ on the conjugacy class $C(\nu)$. Finally,

$$z_\mu = \prod_j m_j(\mu)! j^{m_j(\mu)}.$$

In this paper, we will call (1) the Mariño-Vafa formula.

The third author proved in [27] some special cases of the Mariño-Vafa formula and found several interesting applications. He showed in [29] that calculation of BPS numbers in the local $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ geometries can be reduced to the Mariño-Vafa formula, and proved in [30] a special case of a conjecture by A. Iqbal [11] assuming the Mariño-Vafa formula.

We now describe our approach to the Mariño-Vafa formula (1). Denote the right-hand sides of (1) and (2) by $R(\lambda; \tau; p)$ and $R(\lambda; \tau; p)^\bullet$ respectively. In [28], the third author proved the following two equivalent cut-and-join equations similar to the one satisfied by Hurwitz numbers [6], [20], [10, Section 15.2].

Theorem 1.

$$(4) \qquad \frac{\partial R}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}{2} \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \left(ijp_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + ijp_{i+j} \frac{\partial R}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial R}{\partial p_j} + (i+j)p_i p_j \frac{\partial R}{\partial p_{i+j}} \right),$$

$$(5) \qquad \frac{\partial R^\bullet}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}{2} \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \left(ijp_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2 R^\bullet}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + (i+j)p_i p_j \frac{\partial R^\bullet}{\partial p_{i+j}} \right).$$

Here is a crucial observation: One can rewrite (5) as a sequence of systems of ordinary equations, one for each positive integer d, hence if $\mathcal{C}(\lambda; \tau; p)^\bullet$ satisfies (5), then it is determined by the initial value $\mathcal{C}(\lambda; 0; p)^\bullet$. To prove (1) or (2), it suffices to prove the following two statements:

    (a) Equation (4) is satisfied by $\mathcal{C}(\lambda; \tau; p)$.
    (b) $\mathcal{C}(\lambda; 0; p) = R(\lambda; 0; p)$.

Or equivalently,

    (a)' Equation (5) is satisfied by $\mathcal{C}(\lambda; \tau; p)^\bullet$.
    (b)' $\mathcal{C}(\lambda; 0; p)^\bullet = R(\lambda; 0; p)^\bullet$.

It is shown in [28] that (b) holds. Therefore, the Mariño-Vafa formula (1) follows from the following theorem.

Theorem 2.

$$(6) \qquad \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}{2} \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \left(ijp_{i+j} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{C}}{\partial p_i \partial p_j} + ijp_{i+j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial p_j} + (i+j)p_i p_j \frac{\partial \mathcal{C}}{\partial p_{i+j}} \right)$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a proof of the initial condition (b). In Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1 in [28]. In Section 4, we outline the proof of Theorem 2 in [22]. The details we omit here are straightforward calculations which will be given in [22]. Complete lists of relevant references will be given in [28, 22].

2. INITIAL CONDITION

The proof of the initial condition (b) needs the following two theorems.

Theorem 2.1. *We have*

$$\text{(7)} \qquad \mathcal{C}(\lambda; 0; p) = -\sum_{n>0} \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n+1} p_n}{2n \sin(n\lambda/2)}.$$

Proof. When $l(\mu) > 1$, we clearly have

$$\mathcal{C}_\mu(\lambda; 0) = 0.$$

When $\mu = (n)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{(n)}(\lambda; 0) &= -\sum_{g \geq 0} \lambda^{2g-1} \sqrt{-1}^{n+1} \frac{\prod_{a=1}^{n-1}(n \cdot 0 + a)}{(n-1)!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \frac{\Lambda_g^\vee(1)\Lambda_g^\vee(0)\Lambda_g^\vee(-1)}{1 - n\psi_1} \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n+1}}{n} \sum_{g \geq 0} (n\lambda)^{2g-1} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \lambda_g \psi_1^{2g-2} \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n+1}}{n^2\lambda} \cdot \frac{n\lambda/2}{\sin(n\lambda/2)} \\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}^{n+1}}{2n \sin(n\lambda/2)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second equality we have used the Mumford's relations [24, 5.4]:

$$\Lambda_g^\vee(1)\Lambda_g^\vee(-1) = (-1)^g.$$

In the third equality we have used [3, Theorem 2]. This proves (7). $\qquad \square$

Theorem 2.2. *We have the following identity:*

$$\text{(8)} \qquad \log\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{|\rho|=n} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{4}\kappa_\rho \sqrt{-1}\lambda}}{\prod_{e \in \rho} 2\sin(h(e)\lambda/2)} \frac{\chi_\rho(\eta)}{z_\eta} p_\eta \right) = -\sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{\sqrt{-1}^{d+1} p_d}{2d \sin(d\lambda/2)}.$$

For a partition η,

$$n(\eta) = \sum_i (i-1)\eta_i = \sum_i \binom{\eta_i'}{2}.$$

For any box $e \in \eta$, denote by $h(e)$ its hook length. Then

$$\sum_{x \in \eta} h(x) = n(\eta) + n(\eta') + |\eta|.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Introducing formal variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots$ such that*

$$p_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots) = x_1^i + \cdots + x_n^i + \cdots.$$

Then for for any positive integer n, we have

$$\text{(9)} \qquad \sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \sum_{|\rho|=n} \frac{q^{n(\rho)}}{\prod_{e \in \rho}(1 - q^{h(e)})} \frac{\chi_\rho(\eta)}{z_\rho} p_\eta = \frac{1}{\prod_{i,j}(1 - tx_i q^{j-1})}.$$

Proof. Recall the following facts about Schur polynomials:

$$(10) \qquad s_\rho(x) = \sum_\eta \frac{\chi_\rho(\eta)}{z_\eta} p_\eta(x),$$

$$(11) \qquad s_\rho(1, q, q^2, \dots) = \frac{q^{n(\rho)}}{\prod_{e \in \rho}(1 - q^{h(e)})},$$

$$(12) \qquad \sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \sum_{|\rho|=n} s_\rho(x) s_\rho(y) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i,j}(1 - tx_i y_j)}.$$

Combining these two identities, one gets:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \sum_{|\rho|=n} \frac{q^{n(\rho)}}{\prod_{e \in \rho}(1 - q^{h(e)})} s_\rho(x) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i,j}(1 - tx_i q^{j-1})}.$$

The proof is completed by (10). $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

As a corollary we now prove (8). First we need the following:

Lemma 2.2. *For any partition ρ we have*

$$(13) \qquad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in \rho} h(e) - n(\rho) = \frac{1}{4}\kappa_\rho + \frac{1}{2}|\rho|.$$

Proof.

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in \rho} h(e) - n(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}(n(\rho') - n(\rho) + |\rho|)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_i \binom{\rho_i}{2} - \sum_i (i-1)\rho_i + |\rho|\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}\left(\sum_i \rho_i(\rho_i - 1) - 2\sum_i i\rho_i + 4|\rho|\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}\kappa_\rho + \frac{1}{2}|\rho|.$$

$$\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$$

Let $q = e^{-\sqrt{-1}\lambda}$, and $t = \sqrt{-1}q^{1/2}$, then we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \sum_{|\rho|=n} \frac{q^{n(\rho)}}{\prod_{e \in \rho}(1 - q^{h(e)})} \frac{\chi_\rho(\eta)}{z_\rho} p_\eta$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sqrt{-1}^n q^{n/2} \sum_{|\rho|=n} \frac{q^{n(\rho) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{e \in \rho} h(e)}}{\prod_{e \in \rho}(q^{-h(e)/2} - q^{h(e)/2})} \frac{\chi_\rho(\eta)}{z_\rho} p_\eta$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sqrt{-1}^n q^{n/2} \sum_{|\rho|=n} \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{4}\kappa_\rho - \frac{1}{2}n}}{\prod_{e \in \rho}(q^{-h(e)/2} - q^{h(e)/2})} \frac{\chi_\rho(\eta)}{z_\rho} p_\eta$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{|\rho|=n} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}f_\rho(2)\sqrt{-1}\lambda}}{\prod_{e \in \rho} 2\sin(h(e)\lambda/2)} \frac{\chi_\rho(\eta)}{z_\rho} p_\eta.$$

Hence by (9),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\log\left(\sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{|\rho|=n}\frac{e^{\frac{1}{4}\kappa_\rho\sqrt{-1}\lambda}}{\prod_{e\in\rho}2\sin(h(e)\lambda/2)}\frac{\chi_\rho(\eta)}{z_\rho}p_\eta\right)\\
=\ &\log\left(\sum_{n\geq 0}t^n\sum_{|\rho|=n}\frac{q^{n(\rho)}}{\prod_{e\in\rho}(1-q^{h(e)})}\frac{\chi_\rho(\eta)}{z_\rho}p_\eta\right)\\
=\ &\log\frac{1}{\prod_{i,j}(1-tx_i q^{j-1})}=\sum_{i,j\geq 1}\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{1}{d}t^d q^{d(j-1)}x_i^d\\
=\ &\sum_{j\geq 1}\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{1}{d}t^d q^{d(j-1)}p_d=\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{p_d}{d}\frac{t^d}{1-q^d}\\
=\ &-\sum_{d\geq 0}\frac{\sqrt{-1}^{d+1}p_d}{2d\sin(d\lambda/2)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By (8) we have

$$
R(\lambda;0;p)\ =\ \log\left(\sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{|\rho|=n}\frac{\chi_\rho(\eta)}{z_\eta}e^{\frac{1}{4}\kappa_\rho\lambda}V_\rho p_\eta\right)=-\sum_{d\geq 1}\frac{\sqrt{-1}^{d+1}p_d}{2d\sin(d\lambda/2)},
$$

where we have used the following identity proved in [28]:

$$
V_\mu=\frac{1}{2^l\prod_{x\in\mu}\sin[h(x)\lambda/2]}.
$$

Hence (b) is proved.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Recall

$$
c_\mu=\sum_{g\in C_\mu}g
$$

lies in the center of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}S_d$, hence it acts as a scalar $f_\nu(\mu)$ on any irreducible representation R_ν. In other words, let $\rho:S_d\to\operatorname{End}R_\nu$ be the representation indexed by ν, then

$$
\sum_{g\in C(\mu)}\rho_\nu(g)=f_\nu(\mu)\operatorname{id}.
$$

We need the following interpretation of κ_ν in terms of character:

$$
\kappa_\nu=2f_\nu(C(2)).
$$

See e.g. [25, (5)]. Here we use $C(2)$ to denote the class of transpositions. We need the following result:

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $h\in S_d$ has cycle type μ. The product $C_{(2)}\cdot h$ is a sum of elements of S_d whose type is either a cut or a join of μ. More precisely, there are $ijm_i(\mu)m_j(\mu)$ elements obtained from h by joining an i-cycle in h to a j-cycle in h, and there are $(i+j)m_{i+j}(\mu)$ elements obtained from h by cutting an $(i+j)$-cycle into an i-cycle and a j-cycle.*

Proof. Denote by $[s_1, \ldots, s_k]$ a k-cycle. Then

$$[s, t] \cdot [s, s_2, \ldots, s_i, t, t_2, \ldots, t_j] = [s, s_2, \ldots, s_i][t, t_2, \ldots, t_j],$$

i.e., an $(i + j)$-cycle is cut into an i-cycle and a j-cycle. Conversely,

$$[s, t] \cdot [s, s_2, \ldots, s_i][t, t_2, \ldots, t_j] = [s, s_2, \ldots, s_i, t, t_2, \ldots, t_j],$$

i.e., an i-cycle and a j-cycle is joined to an $(i + j)$-cycle. Hence for a permutation h of type μ, $c_{(2)} \cdot h$ is a sum of all elements obtained from h by either a cut or a join. Fix a pair of i-cycle and j-cycle of h, there are $i \cdot j$ different ways to join them to an $(i + j)$-cycle. Taking into the account of $m_i(\mu)$ choices of i-cycles, and $m_j(\mu)$ choices of j-cycles, we get

$$ijm_i(\mu)m_j(\mu)$$

different ways to obtain an element from h by joining an i-cycle in h to a j-cycle in h. Similarly, fix an $(i + j)$-cycle of h, there are $i + j$ different ways to cut it into an i-cycle and a disjoint j-cycle in h. And taking into account the number of $(i + j)$-cycles in h, we get

$$(i + j)m_{i+j}(\mu)$$

different ways to obtain an element from h by cutting an $(i+j)$-cycle into an i-cycle and a j-cycle. $\qquad\square$

Now we have for any $h \in S_d$ of cycle type μ

$$\sum_\mu f_\nu(2) \frac{\chi_\nu(\mu)}{z_\mu} p_\mu$$

$$= \sum_\mu \mathrm{tr}[f_\nu(2)\,\mathrm{id} \cdot \rho_\nu(h)] \cdot \prod_i \frac{p_i^{m_i(\mu)}}{i^{m_i(\mu)} m_i(\mu)!}$$

$$= \sum_\mu \mathrm{tr}[\sum_{g \in C(2)} \rho_\nu(g) \cdot \rho_\nu(h)] \cdot \prod_i \frac{p_i^{m_i(\mu)}}{i^{m_i(\mu)} m_i(\mu)!}$$

$$= \sum_\mu \mathrm{tr}\,\rho_\nu(\sum_{g \in C(2)} g \cdot h) \cdot \prod_i \frac{p_i^{m_i(\mu)}}{i^{m_i(\mu)} m_i(\mu)!}$$

$$= \sum_\mu \left(\sum_{\eta \in J_{i,j}(\mu)} ijm_i(\mu)m_j(\mu)\chi_\nu(\eta) + \sum_{\eta \in C_{i,j}(\mu)} (i + j)m_{i+j}(\mu)\chi_\nu(\eta) \right) \cdot \prod_i \frac{p_i^{m_i(\mu)}}{i^{m_i(\mu)} m_i(\mu)!}$$

$$= \left(ijp_{i+j}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + (i + j)p_ip_j\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j}} \right) \sum_\eta \frac{\chi_\nu(\eta)}{z_\eta} p_\eta.$$

Here we have the following notations. Let μ, η be two partitions, both represented by Young diagrams. We write $\eta \in J_{i,j}(\mu)$ and $\mu \in C_{i,j}(\eta)$ if η is obtained from μ by remove a row of length i and a row of length j, then adding a row of length

$i + j$. It follows that

$$\frac{\partial R(\lambda; \tau; p)^\bullet}{\partial \tau}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}{2} \sum_{\mu,\nu} \left(f_\nu(2) \frac{\chi_\nu(C(\mu))}{z_\mu} p_\mu \right) e^{\sqrt{-1}(\tau + \frac{1}{2})\kappa_\nu \lambda/2} V_\nu(\lambda)$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{-1}\lambda}{2} \left(ij p_{i+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + (i+j) p_i p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i+j}} \right) \sum_\eta \frac{\chi_\nu(\eta)}{z_\eta} p_\eta e^{\sqrt{-1}(\tau + \frac{1}{2})\kappa_\nu \lambda/2} V_\nu(\lambda).$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

4.1. **Moduli space of relative morphisms.** We first describe the moduli space of stable relative morphisms to $\mathbb{P}^1$ used in [19]. The moduli spaces of stable relative morphisms are constructed by J. Li [15]. The construction in symplectic geometry was carried out independently by Li-Ruan [18] and Ionel-Parker [9, 10].

Let $\mathbb{P}^1[m]$ be a chain of $m + 1$ copies $\mathbb{P}^1$, such that the i-th copy is glued to the $(i + 1)$-th copy at the point $p_1^{(i)}$ for $i \leq m$. The first copy will be referred to as the root component, and the other components will be called the bubble components A point $p_1^{(m)}$ is fixed on the $(m + 1)$-th component. Denote by $\pi[m] : \mathbb{P}^1[m] \to \mathbb{P}^1$ the map which is identity on the root component and contracts all the bubble components to $p_1^{(0)}$.

Let μ be a partition of $d > 0$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mu)$ be the moduli space of morphisms

$$f : (C, x_1, \ldots, x_{l(\mu)}) \to \mathbb{P}^1[m],$$

such that

(1) $(C, x_1, \ldots, x_{l(\mu)})$ is a prestable curve of genus g with $l(\mu)$ marked points.
(2) $f^{-1}(p_1^{(m)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{l(\mu)}$ as Cartier divisors, and $\deg(\pi[m] \circ f) = d$.
(3) The preimage of each node in $\mathbb{P}^1[m]$ consists of nodes of C. If $f(y) = p_1^i$ and C_1 and C_2 are two irreducible components of C which intersects at y, then $f|_{C_1}$ and $f|_{C_2}$ has the same contact order to p_1^i at y.
(4) The automorphism group of f is finite.

Two such morphisms are isomorphic if they differ by an isomorphism of the domain and an automorphism of the bubble components of $\mathbb{P}^1[m]$. In particular, this defines the automorphism group in the stability condition (4) above.

In [15, 16], J. Li showed that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mu)$ is a separated, proper Deligne-Mumford stack with a perfect obstruction theory of virtual dimension

$$r = 2g - 2 + |\mu| + l(\mu),$$

so it has a virtual fundamental class of degree r.

4.2. **Torus action.** Consider the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action

$$t \cdot [z^0 : z^1] = [t z^0 : z^1]$$

on $\mathbb{P}^1$. It has two fixed points $p_0 = [0 : 1]$ and $p_1 = [1 : 0]$. This induces an action on $\mathbb{P}^1[m]$ by the action on the root component induced by the isomorphism to $\mathbb{P}^1$, and the trivial actions on the bubble components. This in turn induces an action on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mu)$.

4.3. **The branch morphism.** There is a branch morphism

$$\mathrm{Br} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mu) \to \mathrm{Sym}^r\mathbb{P}^1 \cong \mathbb{P}^r.$$

Note that $\mathbb{P}^r$ can be identified with $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r))$, and the isomorphism

$$\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r)) \cong \mathrm{Sym}^r\mathbb{P}^1$$

is given by $[s] \mapsto \mathrm{div}(s)$. The $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on $\mathbb{P}^1$ induces a $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r))$ by

$$t \cdot (z^0)^k(z^1)^{r-k} = t^{-k}(z^0)^k(z^1)^{r-k}.$$

So $\mathbb{C}^*$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^r$ by

$$t \cdot [a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r] = [a_0, t^{-1}a_1, \ldots, t^{-r}a_r],$$

where $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ corresponds to $\sum_{k=0}^r a_k(z_0)^k(z^1)^{r-k} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(r))$. With this action, the branch morphism is $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant.

4.4. **The Obstruction Bundle.** In [19], J. Li and Y. Song constructed an obstruction bundle over the stratum where the target is $\mathbb{P}^1[0] = \mathbb{P}^1$, and proposed an extension over the entire $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mu)$. Here we use a different extension which is equivalent to the one used in [1].

Let $\pi[m] : \mathbb{P}^1[m] \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the contraction to the root component, and denote $\tilde{f} = \pi[m] \circ f$. Dual to the obstruction space at a map $f : (C, x_1, \ldots, x_{l(\mu)}) \to \mathbb{P}^1[m]$, consider the vector bundle V with fiber at f given by

$$H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(-D)) \oplus H^1(C, \tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)),$$

where $D = x_1 + \ldots + x_{l(\mu)}$. It is a direct sum of two vector bundles V_D and V_{D_d}.

Note that

$$H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(-D)) = H^0(C, \tilde{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) = 0,$$

so the ranks of V_D and V_{D_d} are, by Riemann-Roch, $l(\mu) + g - 1$ and $d + g - 1$, respectively.

We lift $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mu)$ to V_D and V_{D_d} as follows. The action on V_{D_d} comes from an action on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with weights p and $p+1$ at the two fixed points p_0 and p_1, respectively, where $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. The fiber of V_D does not depend on the map f, so the fibers over two points in the same orbit of the $\mathbb{C}^*$ action can be canonically identified. The action of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ on V_D is multiplication by λ^{-p-1}.

4.5. **Functorial localization.** Let $T = \mathbb{C}^*$. We will compute

$$\mathrm{Br}_* e_T(V) = \sum_{l=0}^r a_l(p)H^l u^{r-l}.$$

by virtual functorial localization [21].

Let $F(p, x) = \sum_{l=0} a_l(p)x^l$. We have

$$\frac{f_k^* \mathrm{Br}_* e_T(V)}{e_T(T_{p_k}\mathbb{P}^r)} = \frac{F(p, k)}{(-1)^{r-k}k!(r-k)!}.$$

By functorial localization, we have

$$\int_{p_{r-k}} \frac{f_{r-k}^* \mathrm{Br}_* e_T(V)}{e_T(T_{p_{r-k}}\mathbb{P}^r)} = \sum_{F \subset \mathrm{Br}^{-1}(p_{r-k})} \int_{[F]^{vir}} \frac{e_T(V)}{e_T(N_F^{vir})}$$

for $k = 0, \ldots, r$, where N_F is the virtual normal bundle of the fixed loci F in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,0}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mu)$. It is computed in [22] that

$$\sum_{F \subset \mathrm{Br}^{-1}(p_{r-k})} \int_{[F]^{vir}} \frac{e_T(V)}{e_T(N_F^{vir})} = (p+1)^k J_{g,\mu}^k(p),$$

where $J_{g,\mu}^k(p)$ is a degree $r - k$ polynomial in p, and

$$J_{g,\mu}^k(-p-1) = (-1)^{d-l(\mu)+k} J_{g,\mu}^k(p).$$

Moreover, we have

$$J_{g,\mu}^0(p) = \sqrt{-1}^{l(\mu)-|\mu|} \mathcal{C}_{g,\mu}(p),$$

$$J_{g,\mu}^1(p) = \sqrt{-1}^{l(\mu)-|\mu|-1} \left(\sum_{\nu \in J(\mu)} I_1(\nu) \mathcal{C}_{g,\nu}(p) + \sum_{\nu \in C(\mu)} I_2(\nu) \mathcal{C}_{g,\nu}(p) \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{g_1+g_2=g} \sum_{\nu^1 \cup \nu^2 \in C(\mu)} I_3(\nu^1, \nu^2) \mathcal{C}_{g_1,\nu^1}(p) \mathcal{C}_{g_2,\nu^2}(p) \right).$$

Here we use the notation in [20]. The set $J(\mu)$ (join) consists of partitions of d of the form

$$\nu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \hat{\mu}_i, \ldots, \hat{\mu}_j, \ldots, \mu_{l(\mu)}, \mu_i + \mu_j)$$

and the set $C(\mu)$ (cut) consists of partitions of d of the form

$$\nu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \hat{\mu}_i, \ldots, \mu_{l(\mu)}, j, k)$$

where $j + k = \mu_i$. The precise definitions of I_1, I_2, and I_3 can be found in [20]. It follows from the definition that (6) in Theorem 2 is equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} J_{g,\mu}^0(\tau) = -J_{g,\mu}^1(\tau).$$

Since

$$F(p, x)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^r \frac{F(p, k)}{(-1)^{r-k} k! (r-k)!} x(x-1) \cdots (x-k+1)(x-k-1) \cdots (x-r)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^r (p+1)^{r-k} J_{g,\mu}^{r-k}(p) x(x-1) \cdots (x-k+1)(x-k-1) \cdots (x-r)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^r (p+1)^k J_{g,\mu}^k(p) x(x-1) \cdots (x-(r-k-1))(x-(r-k+1)) \cdots (x-r),$$

therefore,

$$\mathrm{Br}_* e_T(V) = \sum_{k=0}^r (p+1)^k J_{g,\mu}^k(p) H(H-u) \cdots (H-(r-k-1)u)(H-(r-k+1)u) \cdots (H-ru).$$

4.6. Final Calculations.

Let $\tau = -p - 1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Br}_* e_T(V) &= \sum_{k=0}^{r} (-\tau)^k J_{g,\mu}^k(-\tau-1) H(H-u) \cdots (H-(r-k-1)u) \\
&\quad \cdot (H-(r-k+1)u) \cdots (H-ru) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{r} (-\tau)^k (-1)^{d-l(\mu)+k} J_{g,\mu}^k(\tau) H(H-u) \cdots (H-(r-k-1)u) \\
&\quad \cdot (H-(r-k+1)u) \cdots (H-ru)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{Br}_* e_T(V) = (-1)^{d-l(\mu)} \sum_{k=0}^{r} \tau^k J_{g,\mu}^k(\tau) H(H-u) \cdots (H-(r-k-1)u)(H-(r-k+1)u) \cdots (H-ru).
$$

For $i = 0, \ldots, r-1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&H^i H(H-u) \cdots (H-(r-k-1)u)(H-(r-k+1)u) \cdots (H-ru) \\
&= ((H-(r-k)u)+(r-k)u)^i H(H-u) \cdots (H-(r-k-1)u) \\
&\quad \cdot (H-(r-k+1)u) \cdots (H-ru) \\
&= ((r-k)u)^i H(H-u) \cdots (H-(r-k-1)u)(H-(r-k+1)u) \cdots (H-ru)
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
H(H-u) \cdots (H-ru) = 0.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{P}^r} \mathrm{Br}_* e_T(V) H^i = (-1)^{d-l(\mu)} u^i \sum_{k=0}^{r} (r-k)^i \tau^k J_{g,\mu}^k(\tau).
$$

Let $J_{g,\mu}^k(\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-k} a_j^k \tau^j$. We have

$$
u^{-i} \int_{\mathbb{P}^r} \mathrm{Br}_* e_T(V) H^i = (-1)^{d-l(\mu)} \sum_{l=0}^{r} \left(\sum_{j+k=l} (r-k)^i a_j^k \right) \tau^l.
$$

Here is a crucial observation: as a polynomial in τ, $u^{-i} \int_{\mathbb{P}^r} \mathrm{Br}_* e_T(V) H^i$ is of degree no more than i. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{j+k=l} (r-k)^i a_j^k = 0
$$

for $0 \le i < l \le r$. Now fix l such that $1 \le l \le r$. We have

$$
\tag{14} \sum_{k=0}^{l} (r-k)^i a_{l-k}^k = 0, \quad 0 \le i < l,
$$

which is a system of l linear equations of the $l+1$ variables $\{a_{l-k}^k : k = 0, \ldots, l\}$.

Both

$$
\{(r-t)^i : i = 0, \ldots, l-1\}
$$

and

$$
\{1, t, t(t-1), \ldots, t(t-1) \ldots (t-l+2)\}
$$

are bases of the vector space

$$
\{f(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t] : \deg(f) \le l-1\},
$$

so there exists an invertible $l \times l$ matrix $(A_{ij})_{0 \le i, j \le l-1}$ such that

$$t(t-1)\cdots(t-i+1) = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} A_{ij}(r-t)^j.$$

In particular,

$$k(k-1)\cdots(k-i+1) = \sum_{j=0}^{l} A_{ij}(r-k)^j.$$

for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, l$, so (14) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l} k(k-1)\cdots(k-i+1)a_{l-k}^k = 0, \quad 0 \le i < l,$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{k=i}^{l} \frac{k!}{(k-i)!}a_{l-k}^k = 0, \quad 0 \le i < l.$$

The above equations can be rewritten as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1! & 2 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & l \\ 0 & 0 & 2! & 3\cdot 2 & \cdots & \cdots & l(l-1) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3! & \cdots & \cdots & l(l-1)(l-2) \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & (l-1)! & l(l-1)\cdots 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_l^0 \\ a_{l-1}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ a_0^l \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The kernel is clearly one dimensional. One can check that the kernel is given by

(15)
$$a_{l-k}^k = (-1)^k \frac{l!}{k!(l-k)!} a_l^0.$$

Note that (15) for $l = 1, \ldots, r$ is equivalent to

$$J_{g,\mu}^k(\tau) = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{d^k}{d\tau^k} J_{g,\mu}^0(\tau)$$

for $k = 0, \ldots, r$. In particular,

$$J_{g,\mu}^1(\tau) = -\frac{d}{d\tau} J_{g,\mu}^0(\tau)$$

which is equivalent to the cut-and-join equation (6) in Theorem 2.

References

[1] J. Bryan and R. Pandharipande, in preparation.

[2] C. Faber, *Algorithms for computing intersection numbers on moduli spaces of curves, with an application to the class of the locus of Jacobians.* New trends in algebraic geometry (Warwick, 1996), 93–109, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 264, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[3] C. Faber, R. Pandharipande, *Hodge integrals and Gromov-Witten theory.* Invent. Math. **139** (2000), no. 1, 173–199.

[4] C. Faber, R. Pandharipande, *Relative maps and tautological classes*, Preprint, math.AG/0304485.

[5] B. Fantecchi, R. Pandharipande, *Stable maps and branch divisors*, Compositio Math. **130** (2002), no. 3, 345–364.

[6] I.P. Goulden, D.M. Jackson, A. Vainshtein, *The number of ramified coverings of the sphere by the torus and surfaces of higher genera*, Ann. of Comb. **4** (2000), 27-46.

[7] T. Graber, R. Pandharipande, *Localization of virtual classes.* Invent. Math. **135** (1999), no. 2, 487–518.

[8] T. Graber, R. Vakil, *Hodge integrals and Hurwitz numbers via virtual localization.* Preprint, math.AG/0003028.

[9] E.-N. Ionel, T. Parker, *Relative Gromov-Witten invaraints*, Ann. of Math. (2) **157** (2003), no. 1, 45-96.

[10] E.-N. Ionel, T. Parker, *The Symplectic Sum Formula for Gromov-Witten Invariants*, Preprint, math.SG/0010217.

[11] A. Iqbal, *All genus topological amplitudes and 5-brane webs as Feynman diagrams*, Preprint, hep-th/0207114.

[12] S. Katz, C.-C. Liu, *Enumerative geometry of stable maps with Lagrangian boundary condtions and multiple covers of the disc*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **5** (2001), 1-49.

[13] M. Kontsevich, *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function.* Comm. Math. Phys. **147** (1992), no. 1, 1–23.

[14] M. Kontsevich, *Enumeration of rational curves via torus actions.* The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994), 335–368, Progr. Math., **129**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995.

[15] J. Li, *Stable Morphisms to singular schemes and relative stable morphisms*, J. Diff. Geom. **57** (2001), 509-578.

[16] J. Li, *Relative Gromov-Witten invariants and a degeneration formula of Gromov-Witten invariants*, J. Diff. Geom. **60** (2002), 199-293.

[17] J. Li, *Lecture notes on relative GW-invariants*, preprint.

[18] A.-M. Li, Y. Ruan, *Symplectic surgery and Gromov-Witten invariants of calabi-Yau 3-folds*, Invent. Math. **145** (2001), no. 1, 119-174.

[19] J. Li, Y.S. Song, *Open string instantons and relative stable morphisms.* Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **5** (2001), no. 1, 67–91.

[20] A.M. Li, G. Zhao, Q. Zheng, *The number of ramified coverings of a Riemann surface by Riemann surface*, Comm. Math. Phys. **213** (2000), no. 3, 685–696.

[21] B. Lian, K. Liu, S.T. Yau, *Mirror Principle III*, Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), no. 4, 771–800.

[22] C.-C. Liu, K. Liu, J. Zhou, in prepartion.

[23] M. Mariño, C. Vafa, *Framed knots at large N*, Orbifolds in mathematics and physics (Madison, WI, 2001), 185–204, Contemp. Math., 310, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[24] D. Mumford, *Towards an eunumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves*, Arithmetic and Geometry (M. Artin, J. Tate, eds.), Part II, Birkhäuser, 1983, 271-328.

[25] A. Okounkov, *Toda equations for Hurwitz numbers*, Math. Res. Lett. 7 (2000), no. 4, 447–453.

[26] E. Witten, *Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space.* Surveys in differential geometry (Cambridge, MA, 1990), 243–310, Lehigh Univ., Bethlehem, PA, 1991.

[27] J. Zhou, *Some closed formulas and conjectures for Hodge integrals*, to appear in Math. Res. Lett.

[28] J. Zhou, *Hodge integrals, Hurwitz numbers, and symmetric groups*, in preparation.

[29] J. Zhou *Marino-Vafa formula and BPS numbers in local $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ geometry*, preprint, submitted.

[30] J. Zhou, *Proof and intepretation of a string duality*, preprint, submitted.

Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
E-mail address: ccliu@math.harvard.edu

Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
E-mail address: liu@math.ucla.edu

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China
E-mail address: jzhou@math.tsinghua.edu.cn